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## Summary

A $\pi P S$ sampling has been proposed by modifying the usual PPS systematic sampling. On comparing the efficiency of proposed sampling scheme with some of the existing sampling schemes it has been observed that the proposed sampling scheme is equally efficient.
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## Introduction

PPS systematic sampling suggested by Madow [6] besides being simple, provides inclusion probabilities for different units exactly proportional to size measure. But it has an obvious drawback that it is not possible to obtain unbiased estimator of the variance which is one of the basic requirements of a good sampling scheme. In this paper a modified PPS systematic sampling scheme has been suggested which maintains the simplicity, inclusion probabilities are proportional to size and is frec from the above drawbaak. The efficiency of the proposed scheme has been compared empirically with some others in usè.

## 2. Sampling Scheme

Let the population under study consists of $N$ distinct and identifiable
units and a sample of size $n$ is desired to be drawn from it. Let $Y_{i}$ and $X_{i}$ denote the values of the character under study and auxiliary character respectively for the $i$ th unit of the population. Conventionally small letters $y_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ will correspond to the $i t h$ unit in the sample. Further it is assumed that $X_{i}$ 's are known for all $i$ 's and set $P_{i}=X_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}$.
The proposed scheme consists of the following steps :
a. Select one unit from $N$ units of the population with probability $P_{i}^{i}$
$b$. Select ( $n-1$ ) units from the remaining $(N-1)$ units by usual PPS systematic sampling scheme with probability for $i$ th unit proportional to $P_{i}$.

For this sampling scheme the inclusion probability for $i$ th unit is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{i}= & \text { Probability that } i \text { th unit is selected at step (a) } \\
& + \text { Probability that } i \text { th unit is not selected at step }(a) \\
& \times \text { Probability that } i \text { th unit is selected at step }(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

$=P_{i}^{\prime}+(n-1) \sum_{j \neq i}^{N} P_{j}^{\prime} \frac{P_{i}}{1-P_{j}}$
For $\pi P S$ i.e. $\pi_{i}=n P_{i}$, we should have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{i}^{\prime}+(n-1) \sum_{j \neq i}^{N} P_{j}^{\prime} \frac{P_{i}}{1-P_{j}}=n P_{i} \\
& \text { or } \quad P_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)\{n-(n-1) s\}}{1-n P_{i}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
s=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{P_{j}^{\prime}}{1-P_{j}}
$$

Summing (2) over all units and using $\Sigma P_{i}^{\prime}=1$ it is found

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-(n-1) s=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right)}{1-n P_{i}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3) in (2) the solution for revised probabilities is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right) /\left(1-n P_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\{P_{i}\left(1-P_{i}\right) /\left(1-n P_{i}\right)\right\}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n P_{i}<1$ (an assumption for all $\pi P S$ sampling schemes) it is obvious that $P_{i}$ 's will always be positive.

Inclusion probability for a pair of units $(i, j)$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{i j}= & (\text { Probability that } i \text { th unit is selected at step }(a)) \\
& \times \text { (Probability that } j \text { th unit is selected at step }(b)) \\
& + \text { (Probability that } j \text { th unit is selected at step (a)) } \\
& \times \text { (Probability that } i \text { th unit is selected at step }(b)) \\
& + \text { (Probability that none of } i \text { and } j \text { is selected at step (a)) } \\
& \times \text { (Probability that botin } i \text { and } j \text { are selected at step (b)) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(n-1)\left[P_{i}^{\prime} \frac{P_{j}}{1-P_{i}}+P_{j}^{\prime} \frac{P_{i}}{1-P_{j}}\right]+\sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ \neq j}}^{N} P_{k}^{\prime} \pi_{(j(k)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\pi_{i j(k)}$ is the inclusion probability of pair ( $i, j$ ) in PPS systematic sample of size $(n-1)$ from the remaining $(N-1)$ units given that $k$ th unit is selected at step ( $a$ ) and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i j(k)}=\sum_{t=1}^{n-1-m} \pi_{i(k) t} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\pi_{t j(k) t}$ denotes inclusion probability for pair $(i, j)$ at step (b) such that $j$ th unit $(j>i)$ is selected at $t$ th subsequent draw given that $i$ th unit can be included not earlier than $m$ th draw. Calculation of $\pi_{i d(k) t}$ is described below.

Let $S_{i}$ 's be the cumulative totals of sizes i.e. $S_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} X_{j}$ and $K$ the integer given by a suitable multiple of $S_{N-1} / n-1$.

Following Singh and Singh (1978), $\pi_{\left.i j k_{k}\right)}$ is given as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{i(t k) t} & =0 \text { if } S_{j}-S_{i-1} \leqslant K t \\
& =0 \text { if } S_{j}-S_{i-1}>K t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
S_{j-1}-S_{i} \geqslant K t
$$

$$
=\min \left\{\frac{K t-\left(S_{j-1}-S_{i}\right)}{K} ; \frac{(n-1) P_{j}}{1-P_{k}}\right\}
$$

if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{,}-S_{i-1}>K t \\
& S_{j-1}-S_{i}<K t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{j-1}-S_{i-1}>K t \\
= & \min \left\{\frac{S_{j}-S_{i-1}-K t}{K}: \frac{(n-1) P_{i}}{1-P_{k}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{j}-S_{i-1}>K t \\
& S_{j-1}-S_{i}<K t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
S_{j-1}-S_{i-1} \leqslant K t
$$

After obtaining these inclusion probabilities, usual Horwitz Thompson estimator for population total alongwith its variance and variance estimate can be used.

TABLE 1-DESCRIPTION OF POPULATIONS

| Sl. No. | Source | $N$ | $Y$ | $X$ | $C V(Y)$ | $C P(X)$ | ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Horvitz \& Thompson [5] | 20 | No. of households | Eye estimate of $Y$ | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.87 |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sukhatme [10], } \\ & \text { p. 279-80 } \end{aligned}$ | 89 | Wheat acreage | No. of villages | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.65 |
| 3. | Sukhatme [10], p. 183 | 34 | Wheat acreage in 1937 | Wheat acreage in 1936 | 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.78 |
| 4. | Sampford [7] | 35 | Oats acreage in 1957 | Total acreage in 1947 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.83 |
| 5. | Cochran [2], p. 156 | 49 | No. of persons in 1930 | No. of persons in 1920 | 0.95 | 1.08 | 0.91 |
| 6. | Cochran [2], p. 325 | 10 | No. of persons per block | No. of rooms per block | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.65 |
| 7. | Hanurav [3] | 20 | Population in 1967 | Population in 1957 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.97 |
| 8. | " | 19 | " | " | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.97 |
| 9. | " | 17 | " | " | 0.66 | 0.65 | 0.99 |
| 10. | " | 16 | " | " | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.96 |

## 3. Empirical Comparisons

To see the performance of the suggesed scheme, it has been compared empirically with following existing sampling schemes
(i) Sampling with probability proportional to size with replacement.
(ii) Randomised probability proportional to size systematic sampling scheme.
(iii) Sampford's sampling scheme.
(iv) Singh and Singh Scheme [9].

Ten natural populations were considered for the purpose. The source of the populations, size of population, nature of $Y$ and $X, C . V$. of $Y$ and $X$ and correlation coefficient ( $\rho$ ) between $Y$ and $X$ are given in Table 1.

Besides these populations, two sets of hypothetical populations were also considered.

The first set consisted of three populations each of size 13 given in Table 2.

TABLE 2-DATA ON THREE HYPOTHETICAL POPULATIONS

|  |  | $Y$ values under population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sl. No. | $\ddots$ | $\overline{11}$ | 12 | 13 |
| 1 | 2 | 16 | 28 | 16 |
| 2 | 2 | 17 | 27 | 17 |
| 3 | 3 | 27 | 39 | 27 |
| 4 | 3 | 28.5 | 37.5 | 28.5 |
| 5 | 4 | 40 | 48 | 40 |
| 6 | 4 | 42 | 46 | 42 |
| 7 | 5 | 55 | 55 | 55 |
| 8 | 6 | 69 | 63 | 63 |
| 9 | 6 | 72 | 60 | -60 |
| 10 | 7 | 87.5 | 66.5 | 66.5 |
| 11 | 7 | 91 | 63 | 63 |
| 12 | 8 | 108 | 68 | 68 |
| 13 | 8 | 112 | 64 | 64 |

The value of auxiliary variable is same for all the three populations,

These are similar to those considered by Cochran [2]. For the first population $Y / X$ increases as $X$ increases. For the second $Y / X$ decreases as $X$ increases whereas for the third population $Y / X$ and $X$ have no correlation. These populations are denoted by 11,12 and 13 respectively.
The second set consisted of three populations each of size 15 generated by using the model

$$
Y_{i}=\beta X_{i}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

with $E\left(\epsilon_{i} / X_{i}\right)=0$
and $E\left(\epsilon_{i}^{2} / X_{i}\right)=A X_{i}^{g}$
The three populations denoted by 14,15 and 16 corresponding to values of $g$ as 0,1 and 2 respectively are given in the Table 3.

TABLE 3-GENERATED POPULATIONS TAKING $g=0,1$ AND 2

|  | Sl. No. | $X$ | $Y$ values under population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 14 | 15 | 16 |
|  | 1 | 13 | 25 | 22.34 | 13 |
|  | 2 | 13 | 26 | 26.00 | 26 |
|  | 3 | 13 | 27 | 29.66 | 39 |
|  | 4 | 14 | 27 | 24.26 | 14 |
|  | 5 | 14 | 28 | 28.00 | 28 |
|  | 6 | 14 | 29 | 31.74 | 42 |
|  | 7 | 15 | 29 | 26.13 | 15 |
|  | 8 | 15 | 30 | 30.00 | 30 |
|  | 9 | 15 | 31 | 33.87 | 45. |
|  | 10 | 16 | 31 | 28.00 | 16 |
|  | 11 | 16 | 32 | 32.00 | 32 |
|  | 12 | 16 | 33 | 36.00 | 48 |
|  | 13 | 17 | 33 | 29.88 | 17 |
|  | 14 | 17 | 34 | 34.00 | 34 |
|  | 15 | 17 | 35 | 38.12 | 51 |

Varianices under the four above mentioned schemes (denoted by $V_{1}, \overline{V_{2}}$, $V_{\mathfrak{8}}$ and $\left.V_{i}\right)$ and that under suggested scheme ( $V_{5}$ ) for sample size 4 are
given in Table 4 for various populations. In calculation of $V_{2}$ and $V_{3}$ approximate expressions given by Hartley and Rao [4] and Asok and Sukhatme [1] respectively have been used.

TÁBLE:4-VARIANCES UNDER DIFFERENT. SAMPLING SCHEMES FOR SAMPLE SIZE 4

| Population | $V_{1}$ | $V_{2}$ | ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{8}$ | $V_{4}$ | $V_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 . | - $1623.33{ }^{\text { }}$ | 1272.56 | 1272.48 | - | 1369.47 |
| : 2 | 816611840.00 | 793651230.00 | 793694680.00 | - | . 679504470.00 |
| 3. | . 432096.24 | - 340921.96 | 341209.77 | - | 307210.85 |
| 4 | 55852.61 | 48979.33 | 48952.39 | - | 61525.92 |
| 5 | 2001224.30 | 1978711.10 | 1978921.80 | - | 1877901.70 |
| 6 | 3558.06. | 2452.14 | 2451.56 | 6681.02 | 1372.08 |
| 7 | 2113986.00 | 1778973.00 | 1778677.00 | - | 1953003.00 |
| 8 | 815632.62 | 651985.88 | 651975.75 | - | 1021072.40 |
| 9 | 188321.62 | 143819.94 | 143659.75 | - | 212330.16 |
| 10 | 28563.92 | 25865.52 | 25864.30 | - | 26811.54 |
| 11 | 3060.99 | 2354.60 | 2381.67 | - | 1293.59 |
| 12 | 3054.93 | 2349.95 | 2376.74 | - | 1144.76 |
| 13 | 987.97 | -759.98 ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 687.93 | - | 371.36 |
| 14 | 89.63 | 71.70 | 30.33 | 31.56 | 25.59 |
| 15 | 617.66 | 494.13 | 452.19 | 472.51 | 366.60 |
| 16. | 8497.90 | 6798.30 | 6730.67 | 7055.70 | 2109.08 |

Perusal of the table indicated that out of 16 populations considered, the proposed scheme performed better than the existing schemes in 11 populations while in three populations the scheme was superior to the scheme with probability proportional to size with replacement but slightly less efficient than the Sampford's scheme and randomised probability proportional to size systematic sampling scheme.
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